SECRET

MATERIAL ATTACHED

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE SECURITY COMMITTEE COMPUTER SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE

4 Oct. 1983 DCISEC-CSS-M157

The One Hundred and Fifty-Seventh meeting of the Computer Security Subcommittee was held on 20 September 1983 The following persons were in attendance: hairman Executive Secretary CIA NSA Mr. David Schenken, U.S. Secret Service Mr. Ralph Neeper, Army Mr. John Freeman, Army Mr. Carl Martz, Navy Mr. Norm Clark, Navy Ms. Sue Berg, Navy Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice Mr. Lynn McNulty, Dept. of State SECOM staff CIA Mrs. Shiela Brand, DoD Computer Security Center

- 2. There were no comments on the minutes of the previous meeting, and they were accepted as written.
- 3. There were reports on two of the efforts which have been contracted under the Subcommittee's fy 83 R&D budget.
- (a) The Navy member reported on the Threat Analysis & Intelligence Requirements (IR) Review. He reported that the review of the existing material was keyed on likely computer security terminology. When approximately 97% of the resulting reports dealt with technology transfer it became clear that the reporting process needs to be more interactive, so that those who have articulated a requirement for the information can provide input to those who are involved in satisfying those requirements. The Navy has supported the project with additional funds (bringing the effort to \$70k) in order to keep it going. The effort is currently directed toward evaluating the collection process, with a view to defining a set of improvements to it.

of CIA reported on the Wang Alliance study. He felt that it offers the best security package for a comparable (i.e., word processor) system. However, the most serious vulnerabilities are introduced when the system is used as a data processor (i.e., runs BASIC or CP/M). The details of the study can be found in the final report for project Venerable, a copy of which is attached. The chairman asked CIA to prepare recommendations for any follow-on work on the WANG system. Also discussed was the classification or handling restrictions to be imposed on the report (it was delivered as unclassified). The Subcommittee agreed that the report should be marked CONFIDENTIAL.

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

| (4) The next item discussed was the redraft of DCID 1/16. The members |
|--|
| submitted written comments on the document, derived from working level |
| coordination within their respective agencies. The detailed comments will |
| not be reproduced in these minutes, although among the major comments were |
| that a matrix be included to avoid the confusion of modes and classes, and |
| that the "Expanded Compartmented" and "Unlimited" modes be eliminated. The |
| comments submitted will be reviewed and appropriate changes incorporated. |

| (5) The Chairman reported that the submissions in respon | se to the |
|--|-------------|
| SECOM's request for the fy 85 R & D program were not up to expec | |
| was pointed out that this was the Community's opportunity to get | before the |
| DCI the requirements for a Computer Security program, and | that a poor |
| response at this critical juncture would be harmful to future | e efforts |
| Several members promised to get their inputs to the Chairman | |
| week. Additionally, the Chairman, the NSA member, and | agreed to |
| meet to assemble the seperate submissions into a cohesive par | ckage which |
| could then be presented to the SECOM. | |

| The next meeting v | | 18 | October | · |
|--------------------|------------|----|---------|---|
| | March 1985 | | | |
| | | | · | |

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/06/10: CIA-RDP89B01354R000400490001-4

Executive Secretary

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1